PNGC RISK ASSESSMENT FORM

Serial No: 032..... Date of Next Review: Jan 2015

Organisation		Ac	tivity		Hazard Identification		
PNGC	✓		ng - Gliders		Flying Activities		
Privately Owned Glider		-	ng - Power		Mechanical		
Privately Owned Power Aircraft			ound Handling	•	Electrical		
Other Airfield User			ntenance		Environment		
		Tra			Waste		
			itors				
		Oth	ers (specify)		Others (specify)		
SUMMARY OF ACTIVITIES 1. Tug Landings in the undershoot of the active runway before the Control Cabin. SUMMARY OF HAZARDS 1. There is a risk that a landing aircraft touching down in the undershoot to a 'displaced threshold' could lose control and collide with personnel, aircraft and vehicles parked at the launch-point. 2. Tugs(tail-draggers) are at higher risk by landing long and having to taxy downwind and crosswind when near their operating limits. (BGA Aerotowing Notes paragraph 50.1) POPULATION AT RISK (inc No.) Single death/injury to Multiple death/injury CURRENT SAFETY PRECAUTIONS & CONTROL MEASURES 1. Tug pilots are required to have a minimum experience level of 100hrs P1, type training and have annual currency checks on the aircraft types used for towing. 2. Regular maintenance checks and Daily Inspection to reduce the risk of mechanical failure (burst tyre/locked wheel brake). 3. Vehicles (at the launch-point) are a minimum 25m from the runway centreline.							
 Parked aircraft and gliders are 15m - 20m from the runway centreline. PNGC Glider launch-point layout is consistent with British Gliding Association approved operational layout. The runway is vacated for all non-PNGC power aircraft landings. The touchdown area for non-PNGC aircraft are not before the designated 'forward operating ' point indicated by the Control Cabin. Tug aircraft will be operating within their respective cross-wind limits. No aircraft touchdown before the runway threshold 'piano keys' on runway 05/23. 							
CURRENT RISK ASSESSMENT		HIGH		MEDIUM	5C- LOW		
CORRENT RISK ASSESSMENT		night					
RISK REDUCTION ACTIONS 1. Landings by Tugs (Chipmunk and Cub) in the undershoot (but not before the 'piano keys') on strong wind days reduces the risk of aircraft tip-over by taxying across the wind and downwind. 2. Tugs will have reduced their speed to 'taxy' levels when in proximity to personnel/vehicles/gliders. 3. Residual risk due to random failure could be reduced by removal of personnel and vehicles from the area but for normal gliding operations in accordance with the BGA guidelines, the PNGC Safety & Environmental Management Plan and the Health & Safety (Reducing Risk, Protecting People) document, the associated risks are assessed as Tolerable and As Low as Reasonably Practicable (ALARP).							
FINAL RISK ASSESSMENT		HIGH		MEDIUM	LOW 5D-		
Assessed by Safety Office	er	Agree	d by CFI/Tug Mas	ster	Authorised by Chairman		
Date:		 Date:.	 Date:		 Date:		

GUIDANCE NOTES

For fu Risk Assessment No.	In the result of the second se					
No. Organisation	Tick the appropriate box.					
Activity	Tick the appropriate box.					
Hazard Identification	From the Hazard Identification Check List select all hazard types applicable to the task/activity being assessed and enter the hazard identification code in the appropriate box.					
Summary of Activities and Hazards	Briefly describe the key aspects of the task/activity being assessed and how the hazard(s) may arise. Look only for the HAZARD(S) which you could reasonably expect to be present and which may result in significant harm under the conditions of your task / activity. In addition to hazards, which arise from "normal operations", consider also likely abnormal and emergency situations					
Population at Risk	State the approximate number of people likely to be effected by the hazards of the task/activity. Don't forget it may not be just personnel carrying out the activity who may be effected. Consider also third parties.					
Current Safety Precautions and Control Measures	Describe the control measures or precautions already taken to reduce the risks from the hazards you have listed? e.g. Training, supervision, written procedures, fitting of guards and covers, provision of special tools or work areas, adequate information, instruction and safe systems etc					
Current Risk Assessment	Assess the level of risk taking into account the current control measures and precautions using the matrix below. Consider first the likely probability of the event arising and identify which row of the matrix is applicable. Then consider the most likely outcome of the hazard being realised in terms of personal injury or environmental impact and identify which column on the matrix applies. The box at which the two crosses will fall into either the low/medium/high risk sections of the matrix. i.e.C3					
Risk Reduction Actions	Have risks been reduced to a level that is as low is reasonably practicable? It may help to consider the current measures have to meet standards set by regulations, Air Navigation Order, BGA Laws & Rules, HSE guidance and local Agreed Codes of Practice (ACOPS). Where appropriate identify further risk reduction measures.					
Final Risk Assessment	Now re-assess the expected level of risk assuming the further risk reduction measures identified are in place.					
Date of Next Review	Assign a date for the next review based on an estimate of the likely hood of changes occurring that may effect the validity of the assessment.					
Acceptability of Risk	 LOW: No action is required if a hazard falls in this area, although some cost-effective improvement may be judged worthwhile. MEDIUM: If a hazard falls in this area, a cost versus benefit analysis will help decide whether remedial action is taken or the risk accepted. HIGH: If a hazard is judged to be in this area the activity is not to be carried out until corrective in the interval of the context of the conte					

HIGH: If a hazard is judged to be in this area the activity is not to be carried out until corrective action are implemented to reduce the risk to a lower level.

	LOW RISK	MEDIUM R	RISK	HIGH RISK	
Possibility of repeated occurrence	A				
Possibility of isolated occurrence	в				
Possibility of occurring sometime	с				
-Not likely to occur	D				
Probability near zero	E				
	1 Negligible	2 Minor	3 Moderate	4 Major	5 Catastrophic

HAZARD IDENTIFICATION CHECKLIST

1 FLYING ACTIVITIES

- 1.1 OPERATIONS
- 1.2 FLYING TRAINING
- 1.3 RISK OF COLLISION
- 1.4 AIRMANSHIP
- 1.5 VISITOR MANAGEMENT
- 1.6 OTHER

2 MECHANICAL HAZARDS

- 2.1 DRAWING-IN / TRAPPING
- 2.2 IMPACT
- 2.3 STABBING / PUNCTURE
- 2.4 FRICTION / ABRASION
- 2.5 HIGH PRESSURE FLUID INJECTION
- 2.6 SLIPS / TRIPS / FALLS
- 2.7 FALLING / MOVING OBJECT
- 2.8 OTHER MECHANICAL HAZARDS

3 ELECTRICAL HAZARDS

- 3.1 DIRECT CONTACT
- 3.2 INDIRECT CONTACT
- 3.3 ELECTROSTATIC PHENOMENA
- 3.4 SHORT CIRCUIT / OVERLOAD
- 3.5 SOURCE OF IGNITION
- 3.6 OTHER ELECTRICAL HAZARDS

4 ENVIRONMENT

- 4.1 NOISE
- 4.2 VISUAL IMPACT
- 4.3 EMISSIONS
- 4.4 USE OF RESOUCES
- 4.5 FLORA & FAUNA
- 4.6 CONTAMINATION (DEBRIS)

5 WASTE

- 5.1 TOXIC
- 5.2 HAZARDOUS
- 5.3 DOMESTIC
- 5.4 SPECIAL
- 5.5 FUEL

6 OTHER

- 6.1 WINCH DRIVING
- 6.2 AIRFIELD DRIVING
- 6.3 LAUNCHPOINT CONTROL
- 6.4 WORK ENVIRONMENT
- 6.5 STRESSFUL POSTURE
- 6.6 POOR WORKPLACE DESIGN

Severity Category	Safety and Environmental Consequences					
	Personnel	Material Safety				
Catastrophic	Multiple deaths or multiple serious injuries	Total loss or extreme damage of property				
Major	Severe Injury/ illness or single fatality	Major damage of property. (10 - 95% of unit cost)				
Moderate	Injury or occupational illnesses	Severe damage of a property (1 -10 % of unit cost),				
Minor	A single injury or occupational illness and/or multiple minor injuries or occupational illnesses	Small damage to property (0.01 - 1% of unit cost)				
Negligible	At most a single minor injury or minor occupational illness	Negligible damage to property. (< 0.01% of unit cost),				

 Table of Safety
 Severity Categories